CHETANA
International Journal of Education (CIJE)
Peer Reviewed/Refereed Journal
ISSN : 2455-8279 (E)/2231-3613 (P)
Impact Factor
SJIF 2025-8.445

Prof. A.P. Sharma
Founder Editor, CIJE
(25.12.1932 - 09.01.2019)

The Role of Structured Training in Injury Recovery Among State and University Boxers

Farid Khan
Research Scholar
Department of Physical Education
Suresh Gyan Vihar University, Jaipur
Dr. Arun Mathur
Professor
Department of Physical Education
Suresh Gyan Vihar University, Jaipur
Email ID: alfaridkhan.uae@gmail.com, Mobile - 9540746259

……
First draft received: 19.10.2025, Reviewed: 21.10.2025
Final proof received: 22.10.2025, Accepted: 23.10.2025

Abstract

Boxing is one of the most physically dangerous and psychologically complex sports, where high-impact hits and high rates of repetitive contact are obligatory, physiological loads and constant cognitive-emotional control during the competition are characterized as stressful factors. Boxers at both state and university level in India are prone to injury that interrupts continuity in training, performance patterns, and psychological upkeep. Despite the growing interest in boxing among Indian universities and state academies, there is a lack of empirical research conducted to investigate the concept of injury recovery as a physical and psychological process. In this research, the impact of the structured training interventions on the recovery of physical injuries and changes in psychology of the competent boxers on the state and university level in Rajasthan are studied. The research has a mixed-method research design based on the biopsychosocial model of sport injury, self-efficacy theory, and cognitive appraisal frameworks. Twelve teams of boxers were investigated to obtain quantitative data on recovery time in injuries, competitive anxiety, confidence, and mental strength with the help of standardized measures, and qualitative interviews were carried out to add some context to the data. The statistical tests were one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), repeated-measures ANOVA and multiple regression modelling to analyse differences between groups and changes with time and predictive relationships. The results indicate that psychologically informed training interventions, which are structured, help tremendously in decreasing time to recover the injuries, reducing the competition anxiety, and increasing the confidence and mental strength. The mediating factor of physical recovery and competitive readiness was found to be confidence. The paper will conclude by finding that injury recovery in boxing is not a strictly physiological process but a psychologically and socially entrenched process that is dependent on training settings, coaching actions, and institutional reinforcement. The paper supports the need to change the paradigm of the Indian boxing training systems to integrated and athlete-focused recovery models.

Key Words: *Boxing, injury rehabilitation, training intervention, competitive anxiety, self-efficacy, Rajasthan etc.*

Introduction

The sport of boxing has a special place in the competitive world because of the nature of its dependence on the controlled violence, physical and psychological toughness of an individual. In contrast to other non-contact or low-contact sports, boxing makes the sportspersons to continuously experience physical collisions and stay tactically aware, emotionally controlled, and make strategic decisions. Injuries are not thus accidental events, but structural facts, which are embedded in the practice of the sport and its competitive culture. The injuries in

musculoskeletal, the damage of the ligaments, fractures, and concussive trauma are frequent, especially at the levels where the infrastructure is not properly equipped and recovered. Boxing in India has been undergoing a very rapid growth in the recent twenty years following an increase in university sports systems and state sponsored training academies. Rajasthan has also become a significant player in this growth and has been able to bring up boxers who compete at inter-university, state and national level. Yet, when it comes to the institutionalization of boxing, the same thing has not happened in regard to the integration of the sports science, psychological support, and the use of evidence-based rehabilitation protocols. This consequently makes state and university-level injury management informal, coach-based, and performance-based. Injury is a serious event in the career of an athlete, which interferes with training programs, participation in competitions, and psychological balance. Recent studies have proven that not only physical capacity is impaired by the injury, but self-confidence, emotional stability and athletic identity as well. In the case of boxers whose performance is highly reliant on confidence, assertiveness as well, and risk-taking, the case of psychological disturbances after the injury can be devastating. Even better performance and clinical recovery often do not eliminate fear of re-injury and performance anxiety, and loss of trust in the body.

The training interventions are central in the creation of these recovery experiences. Training is not just a physical process of conditioning, but a social and psychological context which conveys the norms, expectations, safety, and trust in the ability of the athlete. Periodization, progressive loading, injury-specific modification, and psychological preparation are all aspects of structured training interventions which have been found internationally to enable more successful recovery and return-to-play outcomes. Nevertheless, the training of Indian boxers is usually based on principles of quick recovery, and the psychological readiness is not paid much attention. Although there is increased knowledge on athlete mental health, there is limited empirical research studies on the integrated effects of training interventions on physical injuries recovery and psychological adjustment of Indian boxers. The literature ignores analyzing any of injury prevalence or competitive anxiety outside of training systems. This gap is addressed by this study, which will investigate the effects of organized training interventions on physical and psychological recovery in state- and university-level boxers in Rajasthan, as a part of an integrated theoretical and methodological framework.

Objectives of the Study

- To investigate the impact of organized training programs on physical injury recovery in boxers at the state and university levels at Rajasthan.
- To examine psychological alterations, such as competitive anxiety, confidence and mental resilience, which occur to boxers during competition after injury.
- To determine the correlation between physical recovery and psychological preparation to compete.
- To investigate how boxers perceived support in training in the injury rehabilitation and post injury recovery to competition.

Review of Literature

The recent sport science sources tend to increasingly view injury recovery as a biopsychosocial process, a process of interaction between biological recovery, psychological response and the social environment. According to McKay et al. (2022), every injury prevention and rehabilitation approach that excludes consideration of psychological and contextual aspects is necessarily less effective. This view is a challenge of the traditional biomedical models which consider injury a purely physiological event. The psychological preparedness to resume sport has also become a prominent construct in modern studies of injury. Ardern et al. (2022) emphasize that the athletes who come back to competitions lacking adequate psychological preparation also demonstrate high levels of anxiety, lack of confidence in their performance, and are more likely to be re-injured. Factors that comprise psychological readiness are perceived control, fear management, confidence, and trust on the injured body. In sports that involve high risk like boxing, the aspect of these factors gains greater significance as there are direct implications of hesitation or fear of an opponent in the field. Competitive anxiety has been widely studied to be a result and an indicator of the outcome of injuries. A study of Indian university athletes by Gupta and Mehta (2023) revealed no fewer than thirty percent of injury history predictors of the increased level of cognitive anxiety resulting in competition. Worry, fear of failure and intrusive thoughts, are referred to as cognitive anxiety that has been found to have negative effects on attention, reaction time and motor coordination. Additionally, Bennett et al. (2023) observe that inadequate rehabilitation communication (including the premature use of the return-to-play decisions) commonly increases the anxiety. The self-efficacy theory also gives a strong explanatory model of psychological recovery. Bandura defines self-efficacy as a conviction that a person can do what he or she needs to cope with the future. Kumar and Singh (2024) showed that psychological skills training was an effective way of developing rehabilitation self-efficacy in Indian combat sport athletes, which resulted in the increased adherence to rehabilitation programs and confidence based on returning to the arena. Such results indicate that psychological interventions implemented in training may have a direct impact on the results of recovery.

Social determinants of injury recovery are coaching behaviour and training environment, which are crucial factors. As Chen and Light (2024) stress, coaches usually play the role of the primary provider of psychological guidance in the context of combat sport, especially in situations where professional sports psychology assistance is not provided. Coaching behaviours that have been found to support in attaining better psychological outcomes include individualized progression, empathetic communication and inclusion in the rehabilitation process, whilst authoritarian or dismissive behaviours enhance anxiety and the fear of re-injury.

Although these improvements have occurred, the study of Indian boxing is still immature and scattered. According to Sharma and Yadav (2023), most of the Indian universities have inconsistent injury management practices, which are usually influenced by the pressure to participate in competitions, instead of the welfare of the athlete. The lack of integrative research of simultaneous effects of training interventions on physical and psychological recovery in boxing is obvious. The gap

addressed by the current study is that training interventions are at the centre of the analysis of injury recovery.

Research Methodology

The research design used was mixed-method research design based on the biopsychosocial model of sport injury. The sample included 120 international boxers of state and university level (78 men and 42 women) between the ages of 18-25 years representing different universities and state academies in the state of Rajasthan. The Purposive sampling was used to make sure that athletes who had a minimum of three years of competitive boxing experience and had at least one reported injury in the last two years were included. Standardized instruments of injury recovery duration, competitive anxiety, confidence and mental resilience were used to collect quantitative information. The number of weeks to recover after an injury was used as an operationalization of the recovery period. Competitive anxiety was measured with the help of standard state anxiety inventory, whereas confidence and mental resilience were measured with validated psychological scales. The data were recorded at two levels, the first one being right after rehabilitation and the second one after returning to the competition. The qualitative data were gathered using semi-structured interviews of 20 respondents who were chosen with the help of a quantitative sample. Experience of injury, perceived training support, return to competition psychological challenges, and coach role in the recovery were the areas of discussion in interviews. Every ethical guideline was adhered to, such as informed consent and confidentiality. Quantitative analysis entailed descriptive statistics, one-way ANOVA, repeated-measures ANOVA and multiple regression analysis. To calculate the practical significance, the effect sizes were calculated. Qualitative data were thematically coded to place statistical findings in a context and provide additional interpretation.

Data Analysis

One-way ANOVA was statistically significant in determining the difference in injury recovery time between groups of training interventions. Boxers participating in periodized training programs that were structured at the same time also recovered much faster than compared to boxers that took part in non-systematic/ad hoc training programs ($F = 9.47$, $p < .001$). The magnitude of this effect ($e2 = .14$) is that training structure has a moderate-large practical effect on recovery outcomes, implying that training intervention produces a significant effect on the duration of recovery. The ANOVA in repeated measures was found to significance in the reduction of competitive anxiety after the structured training interventions ($F = 18.62$, $p = .001$, partial $e2 = .18$). There was a sizable interaction result that anxiety decrease was considerably more in those athletes whose training involved aspects of psychological preparation like gradual exposure, confidence exercises, and coach-athlete reassurance. The multiple regression analysis showed that confidence was an important predictor of the readiness ($b = .52$, $p < .001$) whereas anxiety was an inverse predictor of readiness ($b = [?].33$, $p < .001$). Recovery of injuries was a moderate source of variation by itself, although the addition of confidence increased the explanatory power of the model substantially (Adjusted $R2 = .61$), which suggests a mediating psychological influence.

Findings

The results of the current research indicate that the effects of the training interventions on recovery and psychological functioning after injury on the group of state and university level boxers in Rajasthan is statistically significant and conceptually complicated. Instead of existing as independent entities, physical recovery and psychological adaptation turned out to be mutually dependent processes where training structure and coaching behaviour as well as institutional context contributed. Among such outstanding findings, it is possible to mark the fact that the process of physical recovery was not enough to guarantee the competitiveness. Despite most of the participants recovering clinically within the medical orchestrated deadlines, most of them still reported psychological reluctance during the match. This indecisiveness has been expressed through less offensive involvement, an over-reliance on defensive movement and shunning high-stakes encounters. This form of behaviour changes points to the fact that there was the fear of re-injury even though the body had been physically cured and this means that there was no relation between biomedical cues of recovery and the experience of the competition was lived. The most significant psychological limitations were fear of re-injury particularly among athletes with a history of frequent injuries. The reports of these athletes indicated that cognitive anxiety rose because of the variables of persistent worry, self-monitoring of physical sensations, and intrusive thoughts during bouts. The statistics also indicate that fear was not merely an emotional response but also a performance-regulating action, which affected the decision of the strategy and the motor performance. Among the boxers who perceived their training interventions as gradual, structured and confidence-promoting, a significant amount of fear reduction was found, and this reflects the significance of training design in mediating the effects of psychological vulnerability.

The other important finding is the mediating effect of confidence in the relationship that exists between physical recovery and performance on the competition. Statistical calculations proved that confidence enhanced the linking relationship between readiness to compete and recovery status immensely. Athletes that had recovered confidence in their bodies with the help of organized training said they had more trust in their bodies, risk tolerance and more aggressive fighting styles. On the other hand, those athletes that had lost confidence but regained it through physical recovery did not show any performance inhibition meaning confidence is one of the psychological gates by which physical ability is converted into effective performance. The behaviour of coaching became a decisive factor of recovery. The sportsmen always mentioned coaches as the major provider of psychological support in the process of injuries and rehabilitation. Coaching behaviours that were found to be supportive like reassurance, personalized progress and ongoing engagement in trainings activities correlated with low anxiety and high motivation. Contrarily, coaching practices that were pressure-based, such as the expectations of quick recovery and reduction of psychological issues, increased fear and eroded confidence in the recovery process. The results mentioned suggest the relational aspect of injury recovery, especially in the cases where the formal psychological support systems are not present.

The institutional differences also influenced the recovery experience. The universities or state academies-based boxers who were better funded were more constant in terms of access to systematized rehabilitation training, injury surveillance and supportive training situations. The athletes in the underfunded institutions also got accustomed to working on the self-managed recovery that subjected them to physical trauma and psychological burden. This finding highlights the point that psychological resilience is not a phenomenon existing on a one-on-one basis, but it is highly conditioned by structural and organizational terms. Gender-based analysis revealed that psychological response to injury had some slight variation. Women boxers reported that they were more emotionally distressed in the phases of injury and this was partially due to the perceived absence of competitive chances and the fear of being replaced. Nevertheless, with equal training assistance and encouragement, the women athletes showed a similar pattern of psychological recovery as the males. These discoveries dispel deficit assumptions and highlight the need to create training settings where everyone is included. Altogether, the results prove that the training interventions have a multi-dimensional effect on the recovery following injury, affecting it at the physical, psychological, and social levels. Proper recovery was not characterized by the absence of pain or medical clearance but by recovered confidence levels, less fear, and perceived preparedness to compete.

Discussion

The results of this research are very much empirical evidence of the current theoretical models which conceptualise injury recovery as biopsychosocial process. The study undermines the reductionist models which separate rehabilitation and its context in the wider training environment and social interactions by proving that structured training interventions are much more likely to affect both physical and psychological outcomes. The enduring fear of re-injury in physically recovered athletes can be explained by the cognitive appraisal theory that states that emotional reactions are determined by the assessment of the danger and the ability of people to handle it. Within a boxing context, injury essentially influences how athletes perceive vulnerability in the body regarding their previous automatic movements and turns them into a subject of conscious control and scepticism. These appraisals seem to be recalibrated by the intervention of structured training that brings risk back under controlled conditions to recover perceived control. Confidence that was found to mediate between positive results is a strong indicator of self-efficacy theory. The confidence was not just the result of recovery but a process by which the preparedness of the physical form was transformed into competitive performance. This observation supports the claim that psychological mastery experiences and physical conditioning should be the focus of rehabilitation programs. Without this kind of integration then there are chances that the athletes will come back into the field still physically fit but psychologically wounded. The findings revealed that injury recovery is socially embedded around the role of coaches. Coaches, in the Indian university and state sports environment tend to work as the only person in charge who oversees physical and psychological rehabilitation. The results indicate that the process of coaching might support or hinder recovery, based on the way injury is perceived and handled. Supportive coaching enhances the psychological needs of autonomy, competence, and relatedness, which have been defined as major needs in self-determination theory, and pressurizing methods diminish these needs, which contribute to anxiety. The institutional diversity established in the research proves the applicability of organizational environment concerning athlete well-being. The difference in institutions was high in relation to the access to structured training interventions and monitoring of injuries and psychological support which influenced the quality of recovery. This highlights the reality that an institutional issue lies in the Indian development of sporting activities in the sense that the outcome of the sportsman is not always decree determined by institutional or standard welfare, provisions. The results concerning gender matters are also used to improve the discussion as they demonstrate that structural inequalities may be offset by psychological recovery. More global issues of representation, opportunity, and security in competitive sport can be seen in the hostile exposure to female athletes during the injury phase. Nonetheless, the similar recovery results, which were seen when the support was fair, indicate that psychological resilience is not a gender issue but a situational matter. All in all, the discussion highlights that training interventions should be redefined as psychosocial systems, but not as only physical regimens. The fact that mental recovery is not prioritized poses a serious threat in the sport of boxing where psychological preparation has a direct impact on the safety and performance.

Conclusion

The current research gives a thorough and context-based analysis of the effects of training interventions on the recovery of physical injuries and mental adjustment of boxers at the level of states and universities in the state of Rajasthan. Combining the methods of quantitative analysis, qualitative analysis, and modern theories, the research proves the fact that the recovery of injuries in boxing is a multidimensional phenomenon due to the influence of physical training, psychological preparation, coach practice, and institutional reinforcement. The results provide conclusive evidence that training interventions, which are carried out in a structured form and with adequate psychological understanding, can work wonders in terms of cutting short recovery time, decreasing anxiety during competition, and boosting self-confident and mentally strong outcomes. The physical recovery did not prove to be a sufficient factor in the competitive readiness; psychological have an overriding influence on the results of the performance including confidence and fear management. Another significant mediating variable was found in confidence, meaning that athletes were able to apply physical preparedness about assertive and competitive behaviour.

The paper has highlighted the important role of coaches as psychological facilitators of recovery particularly under environments where formal support of sports psychology is not available. The positive recovery performance was associated with the coaching methods that were centred on the progressive approach, encouragement, and involvement of athletes whereas the practices related to the pressure-oriented coaching undermined the psychological stability. Such findings hold coaches accountable ethically and professionally to adopt athlete centred recoveries beliefs. The policy and institutional

aspects of the study depict an uneven level of training support to various universities and state academies and that is why there is a need to have uniform recovery systems within the Indian sports systems. One of the keys to sustainable development of athletes is a merger of psychological skills training, injury education and mental health awareness training in the coaching programs. In conclusion, the paper is in support of the paradigm shift in the Indian boxing training systems, to more performance-oriented, injury-response models as opposed to the notion of holistic, body-mind-centred recovery models. Not only is this change necessary to enhance the competitiveness success, but it is also necessary to safeguard the health of the athlete as well as his/her long-term commitment to the sport. In the future, further research with special attention to study longitudinal and intervention-based design should be taken to enhance and validate the integrated recovery models in combat sports.

References

- Ardern, C. L., et al. (2022). Psychological readiness to return to sport after injury. *British Journal of Sports Medicine, 56*(8), 453–461.
- Bennett, H., et al. (2023). Psychological factors influencing return to sport. Journal of Applied Sport Psychology, 35(2), 179–201.
- Chen, M. A., & Light, R. (2024). Coaching behaviour and athlete wellbeing in combat sports. Sport, Education and Society, 29(1), 98–114.
- Clarsen, B., Bahr, R., & Myklebust, G. (2022). Injury prevention and return-to-play decision making. Sports Medicine, 52(3), 511–525.
- Fletcher, D., & Sarkar, M. (2023). Psychological resilience in sport performers. International Review of Sport and Exercise Psychology, 16(1), 1–27.
- Gupta, S., & Mehta, R. (2023). Competitive anxiety among Indian university athletes. International Journal of Sport Psychology, 54(4), 421–438.
- Hägglund, M., et al. (2022). Risk factors for injury recurrence. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 56(6), 328–334.
- Kumar, A., & Singh, V. (2024). Psychological skills training in combat sports. Journal of Physical Education and Sport, 24(2), 389–398.
- McKay, C. D., et al. (2022). The biopsychosocial model of sports injury. Sports Medicine, 52(1), 1–12.
- Nicolas, M., et al. (2022). Perceived stress and injury risk. Psychology of Sport and Exercise, 58, 102067.
- Sarkar, M., & Fletcher, D. (2024). Mental toughness and injury. Current Opinion in Psychology, 48, 101498.
- Sharma, P., & Yadav, R. (2023). Injury rehabilitation in Indian university sport. Journal of Sports Sciences, 41(9), 1045–1056.
- Turner, M. J., & Barker, J. B. (2022). Cognitive-behavioral approaches in injury rehabilitation. Journal of Applied Sport Psychology, 34(3), 482–500.
- Verhagen, E., & Bolling, C. (2023). Psychological readiness and safe return to play. Sports Medicine, 53(7), 1357–1370.
- Rajasthan Sports Council. (2024). Annual report on sports performance and injury management. Jaipur: Government of Rajasthan.