



**CHETANA**  
International Journal of Education (CIJE)

Peer Reviewed/Refereed Journal  
ISSN : 2455-8279 (E)/2231-3613 (P)

**Impact Factor**  
**SJIF 2025-8.445**



**Prof. A.P. Sharma**  
Founder Editor, CIJE  
(25.12.1932 - 09.01.2019)

### **Cultural Heritage as a Tool for Youth Employment: A Study of Traditional Art and Craft in India**

**Dr. Renu Mehlawat**

Assistant Professor (VSY),

Department of History and Archeology

Pt. Deen Dayal Upadhyaya Shekhawati University, Sikar (Rajasthan)

Email: mehlawatrenu7@gmail.com, Mobile- 6377332622

.....  
*First draft received: 05.11.2025, Reviewed: 09.11.2025*

*Final proof received: 09.11.2025, Accepted: 20.12.2025*

#### **Abstract**

*India's traditional art and craft sector represents one of the largest reservoirs of cultural heritage and indigenous economic activity in the world. Historically, these practices provided sustainable livelihoods to millions of artisan communities and formed a crucial component of India's domestic and international trade. In contemporary India, however, the sector faces declining youth participation, economic insecurity, and structural marginalization, even as youth unemployment has emerged as a pressing developmental challenge. This paper examines the role of cultural heritage—specifically traditional art and craft—as a viable and sustainable tool for youth employment in India. Using a qualitative and analytical research approach based on secondary sources, policy documents, and existing scholarly literature, the study analyzes the historical evolution of crafts, present-day challenges, state interventions, and emerging opportunities within the digital and creative economy. The paper argues that integrating traditional crafts into skill development, entrepreneurship, cultural tourism, and digital markets can transform cultural heritage into a dignified and productive employment pathway for Indian youth. Such an approach not only addresses economic concerns but also contributes to cultural preservation, social inclusion, and sustainable development.*

**Key-words:** *Cultural Heritage, Youth Employment, Traditional Crafts, India, Creative Economy, Sustainable Development etc.*

#### **Introduction**

Cultural heritage in India is not limited to monuments, archaeological remains, or classical art forms; it is deeply embedded in everyday life through living traditions such as traditional art and craft. From handloom weaving in rural households to metalwork, pottery, painting, and wood carving practiced across regions, Indian crafts reflect centuries of accumulated knowledge, aesthetic sensibilities, and ecological adaptation. These traditions have historically sustained local economies, structured social relations, and shaped cultural identities.

In recent decades, however, India has witnessed a growing disconnect between cultural heritage and economic development. While the country has experienced significant economic growth, employment generation—particularly for youth—has remained inadequate. The phenomenon of jobless growth, combined with increasing informalization of labor, has disproportionately affected young people, especially those from rural and

marginalized backgrounds. In this context, traditional art and craft sectors offer an alternative employment model that is skill-based, locally rooted, and environmentally sustainable.

This paper explores how cultural heritage, specifically traditional art and craft, can function as a tool for youth employment in India. It seeks to move beyond the dominant narrative that views crafts primarily as objects of preservation or welfare support and instead positions them as productive economic assets capable of generating meaningful livelihoods for young people.

#### **Conceptual Framework: Cultural Heritage and Employment**

Cultural heritage is commonly understood as encompassing both tangible and intangible elements. Tangible heritage refers to physical objects such as crafts, textiles, artifacts, and tools, while intangible heritage includes skills, techniques, knowledge systems, oral

traditions, and symbolic meanings associated with these practices (UNESCO, 2003). Traditional crafts occupy a unique position within this framework because they combine material production with intangible cultural knowledge.

From an employment perspective, heritage-based livelihoods differ fundamentally from industrial employment models. They are labor-intensive rather than capital-intensive, rely on human skill and creativity rather than mechanization, and are often organized through decentralized and household-based production systems. Such characteristics make traditional crafts particularly suitable for employment generation in rural and semi-urban regions where large-scale industrial opportunities are limited.

Moreover, cultural heritage-based employment contributes to social and psychological dimensions of work by providing a sense of identity, dignity, and creative fulfillment. For youth, engagement with traditional crafts can therefore offer not only income but also meaningful participation in cultural continuity and community life.

### **Historical Evolution of Traditional Crafts in India**

India's traditional craft sector has deep historical roots. Prior to colonial intervention, the subcontinent was one of the world's largest producers and exporters of handcrafted goods. Indian textiles, metalware, jewelry, and decorative arts were highly valued in international trade networks spanning Asia, Europe, and Africa (Roy, 2011). Artisan communities operated within complex systems of production supported by royal patronage, guild structures, and vibrant domestic markets.

Colonial rule marked a turning point in the fortunes of Indian crafts. The influx of machine-made goods from Britain, coupled with discriminatory trade policies and taxation, led to the decline of indigenous industries. Scholars have described this process as deindustrialization, which resulted in the loss of livelihoods for millions of artisans (Bagchi, 2010). Traditional production systems were dismantled, and crafts were gradually marginalized within the colonial economy.

After independence, the Indian state adopted an industrialization-centric development model. Although institutions such as the Khadi and Village Industries Commission (KVIC) and various handicraft boards were established to support crafts, these efforts were often framed within a welfare-oriented approach rather than as part of a broader economic strategy. As a result, traditional crafts remained peripheral to mainstream development planning despite their employment potential.

### **Contemporary Status of Traditional Art and Craft in India**

In contemporary India, the traditional art and craft sector continues to employ a substantial number of people. According to estimates by the Ministry of Textiles, more than seven million artisans are engaged in various craft forms across the country. The sector contributes significantly to exports, cultural tourism, and rural livelihoods, particularly in states with strong craft traditions.

Despite its scale, the sector is characterized by informality, low productivity, and income instability. Most artisans work without formal contracts, social security, or access to institutional credit. Youth participation has declined steadily, as younger generations often perceive craft-based livelihoods as economically risky and socially undervalued. Migration to urban areas in search of alternative employment has further weakened the intergenerational transmission of skills.

This situation presents a paradox. On one hand, global demand for handmade, sustainable, and culturally distinctive products has increased. On the other hand, the communities that produce these goods struggle to attract and retain young workers. Addressing this contradiction requires structural interventions that link cultural heritage with contemporary economic opportunities.

### **Youth Employment Challenges in the Craft Sector**

The challenges faced by youth in the traditional craft sector are multidimensional. Economic insecurity remains a primary concern, as craft incomes are often seasonal and dependent on intermediaries who capture a disproportionate share of profits. Limited access to affordable credit and insurance further exacerbates vulnerability.

Skill gaps also pose a significant barrier. While traditional apprenticeship systems emphasize technical mastery, they rarely include training in modern design, quality standardization, branding, digital marketing, or financial management. As markets become increasingly competitive and consumer preferences evolve, the absence of such skills limits the ability of young artisans to sustain livelihoods.

Social perception constitutes another major challenge. Craft-based work is frequently associated with poverty, caste-based occupations, and lack of upward mobility. This stigma discourages educated youth from engaging with heritage-based livelihoods, even when economic opportunities exist. Consequently, valuable cultural knowledge risks being lost as younger generations disengage from traditional practices.

### **Cultural Heritage, Sustainability, and Youth Employment**

Traditional crafts align closely with the principles of sustainable development as articulated in global development discourse. Economically, they generate self-employment and entrepreneurship opportunities with relatively low capital investment. Socially, they preserve cultural identity, strengthen community cohesion, and promote inclusive participation of marginalized groups. Environmentally, craft production typically relies on local materials, renewable resources, and low-energy processes, resulting in a smaller ecological footprint compared to industrial manufacturing (Throsby, 2010).

For youth, heritage-based employment offers a pathway that combines economic survival with creative expression and cultural pride. When supported by appropriate institutional frameworks and market access, traditional crafts can become competitive within the broader creative economy. This potential positions cultural heritage as a strategic resource for addressing youth unemployment in a sustainable manner.

## Policy Framework and Institutional Interventions

Recognizing the importance of traditional skills, the Indian government has introduced several policy initiatives aimed at revitalizing the craft sector. Programs under the Skill India Mission and the PM Vishwakarma Scheme seek to modernize traditional skills, provide certification, and integrate youth into heritage-based livelihoods. Handicraft and handloom development schemes focus on cluster-based infrastructure, design support, credit facilitation, and marketing assistance.

Cultural tourism initiatives have further expanded employment opportunities by linking crafts with experiential tourism, craft villages, and heritage circuits. These interventions have created new roles for youth as artisans, entrepreneurs, guides, and cultural mediators. However, policy implementation often remains fragmented, with limited coordination between cultural, economic, and employment objectives. A more integrated and youth-centric policy approach is required to fully realize the employment potential of cultural heritage.

## Digital Transformation and the Creative Economy

The emergence of digital platforms has significantly transformed the prospects of traditional crafts. E-commerce marketplaces, social media, and digital storytelling tools allow artisans—particularly youth—to access national and global markets directly, reducing dependence on intermediaries. Young entrepreneurs increasingly blend traditional techniques with contemporary aesthetics, positioning crafts within lifestyle, fashion, and home décor markets.

This digital transformation challenges the perception of crafts as outdated or unproductive and redefines them as dynamic cultural industries. The convergence of heritage and technology has opened new employment and entrepreneurial pathways for youth, enabling them to participate in the global creative economy while remaining rooted in local cultural contexts.

## Research Gap and Discussion

While existing literature acknowledges the cultural significance of traditional crafts, limited attention has been given to their role in structured youth employment strategies. Crafts are often treated as welfare concerns rather than productive economic sectors capable of contributing to employment generation and economic growth. This paper addresses that gap by reframing cultural heritage as an active economic resource and emphasizing the role of youth as agents of innovation and transformation within the craft sector.

## Conclusion

Traditional art and craft represent one of India's most significant yet underutilized employment resources. In the context of rising youth unemployment, environmental concerns, and cultural homogenization, heritage-based livelihoods offer a sustainable, inclusive, and culturally meaningful alternative. This study concludes that revitalizing traditional crafts through education, digital integration, entrepreneurship support, and coherent policy frameworks can transform cultural heritage into a driver of youth employment and inclusive development.

Investing in cultural heritage is not merely an act of preservation; it is a strategic intervention for economic

resilience, social dignity, and sustainable growth in contemporary India.

## References

- Bagchi, A. K. (2010). *Colonialism and Indian economy*. Oxford University Press.
- Banik, D. (2018). Youth employment and inclusive development in India. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 53(22), 45–52.
- Chakravarty, S. (2019). Informal sector and employment challenges in India. *Journal of Development Studies*, 55(8), 1633–1649.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00220388.2018.1475647>
- Dhar, P. (2017). Handicrafts of India: A study of socio-economic conditions of artisans. *International Journal of Social Economics*, 44(3), 356–372.  
<https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSE-02-2016-0035>
- Government of India, Ministry of Textiles. (2022). *Annual report 2021–22*. Government of India.
- Government of India, NITI Aayog. (2021). *India's employment challenges and opportunities*. New Delhi: NITI Aayog.
- Gurjar, R., & Singh, S. (2020). Traditional crafts and sustainable livelihoods in rural India. *Asian Journal of Development Studies*, 9(1), 78–94.
- Howkins, J. (2001). *The creative economy: How people make money from ideas*. Penguin.
- ILO. (2020). *Global employment trends for youth 2020*. International Labour Organization.
- Kapoor, A. (2015). Cultural industries and economic development: Indian perspectives. *Journal of Cultural Economics*, 39(4), 365–384.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10824-015-9253-7>
- Kumar, R., & Singh, P. (2019). Youth unemployment in India: Structural issues and policy responses. *Indian Journal of Labour Economics*, 62(1), 1–20.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s41027-019-00171-3>
- Mehrotra, S., & Parida, J. (2017). Why is the labour force participation of women declining in India? *World Development*, 98, 360–380.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2017.05.003>
- Mitra, A. (2018). Skill development and employment generation in India. *Journal of Asian Economics*, 54, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asieco.2017.10.002>
- National Skill Development Corporation. (2021). *Skill development in traditional sectors*. NSDC.
- Ray, D. (2020). Development economics and structural transformation in India. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*, 36(1), 1–19.  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/oxrep/grz033>
- Roy, T. (2011). *India in the world economy: From antiquity to the present*. Cambridge University Press.

Sen, A. (1999). *Development as freedom*. Oxford University Press.

Seth, A., & Ruud, A. (2019). Crafting livelihoods: Artisan work and identity in India. *Modern Asian Studies*, 53(5), 1421–1450. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0026749X18000642>

Throsby, D. (2010). *The economics of cultural policy*. Cambridge University Press.

UNESCO. (2003). *Convention for the safeguarding of the intangible cultural heritage*. UNESCO.

UNESCO. (2015). *Culture for development indicators*. UNESCO Publishing.

World Bank. (2019). *The creative economy: A toolkit for development*. World Bank.

World Bank. (2020). *Jobs and structural transformation in India*. Washington, DC: World Bank.